BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF VERN L. SALSBURY, 4 PCHB No. 849 Appellant, 5 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT. v. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER 6 SOUTHWEST AIR POLLUTION 7 CONTROL AUTHORITY, 8 Respondent. 9

THIS MATTER, the appeal of a \$250 civil penalty for an alleged open fire violation of respondent's Regulation I having come on regularly for formal hearing on the 27th day of October, 1975 in Centralia, Washington, and appellant Vern L. Salsbury appearing pro se, and respondent Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority appearing through its attorney, James D. Ladley with William A. Harrison, hearing examiner presiding, and the Board having considered the exhibits, records and files herein and having reviewed the Proposed Decision of the presiding officer mailed to the parties on the 22nd day of

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

December, 1975, and more than twenty days having elapsed from said service; and The Board having received no exceptions to said Proposed Decision and the Board being fully advised in the premises; now therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said proposed Decision containing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order dated the 19th day of December, 1975, and incorporated by this reference herein and attached hereto as Exhibit A, are adopted and hereby entered as the Board's Final Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order herein. DONE at Lacey, Washington, this 4th day of February. POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD WALT WOODWARD, Member

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

CERTIFICATION OF MAILING 1 I, Dolories Osland, certify that I deposited in the United States 2 mail, copies of the foregoing document on the 4th day of 3 Jebruary , 1976, to each of the following-named parties, 4 at the last known post office addresses, with the proper postage affixed 5 to the respective envelopes: 6 Mr. Vern L. Salsbury 7 13616 - 50th Avenue East Tacoma, Washington 98404 8 Mr. James D. Ladley 9 Attorney at Law P. O. Box 938 10 Vancouver, Washington 98660 11 Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority 12 7601-A Northeast Hazel Dell Avenue Vancouver, Washington 98665 3 14 DOLORIES OSLAND, Clerk of the 15 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD 16 17 18 19 20 2122 23 24

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

25

BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 VERN L. SALSBURY, 4 PCHB No. 849 Appellant, 5 PROPOSED DECISION v. 6 SOUTHWEST AIR POLLUTION 7 CONTROL AUTHORITY, Respondent. 8 9

This is an appeal of a \$250 civil penalty assessed against appellant, Vern L. Salsbury, for allegedly violating Section 4.01 of Regulation I of the respondent, Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority (SWAPCA). The matter came on for hearing before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (William A. Harrison, Hearing Examiner, presiding alone), convened in Centralia on October 27, 1975. Respondent elected a formal hearing.

Appellant, Vern L. Salsbury, appeared pro se; respondent appeared by and through its attorney, James D. Ladley.

EXHIBIT A

10

11

12

13

14

15

Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted. From testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Presiding Officer makes these FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

Approximately 15 years ago, appellant, Vern L. Salsbury, purchased a house and lot located at 240 Paxton in the vicinity of Kelso, Cowlitz County, Washington. At all times relevant to this appeal, Mr. Salsbury has been the owner of that property.

II.

Mr. Salsbury lived in the house at 240 Paxton until it was destroyed by fire in July of 1974.

III.

In the spring of 1975, Mr. Salsbury made up his mind to clean up the lot at 240 Paxton by burning material left by the fire that destroyed his home. The first step taken by Mr. Salsbury was to inquire of an official at the Kelso Fire Department whether any permits or permission were required to ignite a fire on his property. An official of the Kelso Fire Department replied to this inquiry that no permit or special permission were required so long as the fire did not exceed four feet, by four feet, by four feet in size, and so long as it emitted no black smoke. The official of the Kelso Fire Department made no effort to contact SWAPCA before providing Mr. Salsbury with this information. The information provided to Mr. Salsbury conflicts with a written internal directive of the Kelso Fire Department. This internal directive limits permissible outdoor fires to those containing only

PROPOSED DECISION

ö

I |natural vegetation. Such directive was drawn up in response to SMAPCA regulations and although a proposed fire would meet all standards of the Kelso Fire Department, one seeking to burn "natural vegetation plus" was to be denied a permit and referred to SWAPCA offices.

IV.

On April 11, 1975, appellant, Vern L. Salsbury, set a fire on his property at 240 Paxton. This fire contained untreated 2x4's and 2x6's which had been the walls of Mr. Salsbury's home and contained also natural vegetation cathered from the property. The fire emitted no dense smoke or obnoxious odors.

ν.

In the afternoon of April 11, 1975, an unknown person summoned the Kelso Fire Department to extinguish Mr. Salsbury's fire. The fire was extinguished without difficulty.

VI.

A Notice of Violation was issued to appellant Salsbury on April 21, 1975, citing his "Permitting and maintaining an open fire in violation of Section 4.01, of Regulation I, of the Southwest Air Pollution Control Authority, on or about April 11, 1975, 6:00 p.m. . . . "; a civil penalty of \$250 was imposed by respondent pursuant to Section 2.10 of Regulation I. At hearing, it was determined that appellant specifically had 1) failed to obtain a SWAPCA permit (Section 4.01(b)), and 2) burned a fire when a practical alternate method of disposal was available (Section 4.01(b)(2)(1)).

VII.

Any Corclusion of Law hereinafter recited which should be deemed 27 (PROPOSED DECISION 3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

 20°

 $^{\circ}1$

55

23

24

25

a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

I.

Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such.

II.

The Pollution Control Hearings Board has established a policy (see PCHB Nos. 868 and 869, Lloyd's of Washington, Inc. v. PSAPCA) that the good faith efforts of private citizens to comply with regulatory provisions cannot be ignored by the regulatory agency involved and such effort will be considered by this Board. The good faith efforts of appellant Salsbury and the misleading nature of the information given him by a responsible public official are uncontroverted in this case.

III.

Technical violation of SWAPCA's Regulation 4.01 did occur. However, by assessing the maximum fine permissible under SWAPCA Regulation I, Article II, Section 2.10, it is clear that SWAPCA did not consider appellant's efforts to comply with the regulation in its assessment of his penalty. Such efforts should have been considered. Having considered the circumstances of this matter, the civil penalty should be reduced to \$50, and the payment thereof suspended as hereafter provided.

-3

PROPOSED DECISION

ORDER The civil penalty imposed is reduced from \$250 to \$50, payment of DATED this 1974 day of December, 1975.

William A Farmon

"ABBISON. Hearing Exa which is suspended. WILLIAM A. HARRISON, Hearing Examiner

S F No 9928-A-

PROPOSED DECISION